key messages

- More than a quarter of the industrial investment in global R&D is made by EU companies.
- In the last decade EU companies have increased their specialisation in medium-tech sectors, with a significant R&D share increase in the Automobile sector and a decrease in the Aerospace & Defence sector.
- Industrial dynamics at company level provide insights into policy strategies to strengthen EU corporate R&D and to improve the competitiveness of innovation-driven industries.

introduction

Research and Development (R&D) and innovation in industry are expected to play a fundamental role in competitiveness and job creation in the European Union. A report published recently by the European Commission compares the companies that invest the most in R&D worldwide and that represent more than 90% of global business R&D investment. This analysis is based on economic and financial data from the companies' income statements of their annual accounts. Collected and analysed over a period of more than 10 years, this information has served to inform European innovation and industrial policies on specific aspects such as promoting sector dynamics, targeting support to the growth of young innovative companies or supporting the technological transformation and modernisation of European industry.

Evolution of industrial R&D investment

In the last 10 years the overall level of knowledge has risen considerably and economic competitiveness today depends largely on the scientific and technological competitive advantage. Investment in R&D and innovation is vital for an economic and social system such as Europe's with relatively high labour costs and scarce natural resources.

Companies that bet on R&D turn out to be the most competitive in the world market and those that create the most jobs. In absolute terms, in the last 10 years the EU companies that invested most in R&D showed the biggest increase in employment (see Figure 1 in the Annex).

European companies are responsible for more than a quarter (26%) of the industrial investment in R&D in the world. They have managed to maintain this share of investment over the last 10 years, a period of time in which Industrial R&D global investment has grown by 50%.

In the same period, US companies have increased their share of total R&D investment worldwide (reinforcing their position as leaders), while the R&D share of Japanese companies has decreased (they invest about half the total R&D invested by their EU counterparts).

Companies from emerging countries show a greater increase in R&D investment (especially those from China and South Korea) even though their investment in R&D is still far from that of the EU and the US (see Figure 3 in the Annex).

1 EU Industrial R&D investment Scoreboard http://iri.jrc.ec.europa.eu/scoreboard17.html

2 A prominent role by German, British and French companies is observed in EU corporate R&D investment (see Figure 2 in the Annex).
Industrial sectors of R&D specialisation

Over the last decade European companies increased their specialisation in medium-tech sectors (see Figure 1 and 3 in the Annex). Europe leads in the Automobile & parts sector (increasing the R&D shares from 36% to 44% in 10 years). It also specialises in Health industries (including equipment for health, pharmaceuticals and biotechnology where US companies largely dominate), Aerospace & Defence (where the EU lost ground in R&D shares over the 10 years), and in ICT industries (where EU companies underperform, especially in Software industries which are dominated by US companies).

Overall, the R&D specialisation of European companies is very broad and more focused on sectors of medium and low technological intensity, such as the Food retail sector, the Banking sector, and Utilities (Electricity, Gas).

Differently, companies from the US have increased specialisation and leadership in high-tech sectors, especially in ICT but also in Pharma, Biotechnology, and Aerospace & Defence. Companies from Japan have a varied R&D sector mix and have increased specialisation in medium-high-tech sectors such as the Chemical sector the Electronic equipment sector and the high-tech Leisure goods sector. The R&D specialisation for Chinese companies focuses on sectors related to infrastructure, energy and ICTs.

In sum, compared to their non-EU counterparts, EU companies outperform or perform comparably in size (of R&D and sales) and R&D intensity (ratio of R&D to net sales) for Aerospace & Defence, Automobiles and Pharmaceuticals. But in Biotechnology, Software and IT hardware the EU shows persistent weaknesses in most indicators. The EU gap in these three sectors has widened over the last 10 years (Figure 1 in the Annex).

Cause of the EU R&D intensity gap

Despite the good R&D investment performance of the sample of EU companies, the gap with respect to their non-EU counterparts in R&D intensity has not been reduced. Evidence indicates that most of this gap is mainly due to structural factors linked to a specific sectoral composition and reflected in an insufficient number (and a smaller size) of leading innovative companies in the EU in key high-tech sectors. This is particularly the case of ICT industries where EU companies invest much less in R&D than their counterparts, e.g. almost four times less than US companies (see Figure 3 in the Annex).

Additionally, the EU is the world leader in the science underpinning emerging technologies, but not in turning these technologies into global businesses; this difficulty reflects the lack of dynamism of the high-tech sector in the EU (European Commission, 2018a). Investment in these new sectors represents a higher risk but also a greater potential profitability.

Solutions

The analysis of the evolution of industrial R&D over a decade sheds light on how to achieve a more positive R&D dynamic for the EU industry. As already put forward in our previous works, there are two main implications for policy.

The first is the need to leverage the capacity to create new innovative sectors and stimulate their growth, via targeted support to young technology-based companies. This process can be helped by establishing favourable conditions for the emergence and growth of companies and investments in strategic and R&D-intensive sectors of economic and social interest.

The second is to promote the capacity of traditional (and mature) medium- and low-technology sectors to absorb new technologies. Related examples are the adoption of ICT, but also of new materials, or a new way of improving products and services, such as the enormous potential that comes with the use of "Big Data". This would increase the competitiveness of the companies themselves and play a key role in favouring their participation in global innovation networks and innovative global value chains.

In addition, and of fundamental complementarity to fully enable the previous two policy undertakings, a third strategic pathway is the establishment of a medium- and long-term policy agenda that sets the conditions for a more dynamic and innovative EU industry. This agenda would include support for the following:
* Training (skills): Most companies indicate that one of the key factors when deciding to invest in R&D in a country is the quality of (and not only availability of / access to) very qualified scientific and technical personnel (see Figure 4 in the Annex).

* Investment in basic research and use of R&D results: This would increase the ability to develop new knowledge and to transfer technology (“from the laboratory to the market”) and the entrepreneurial capacity to create and grow innovative companies.

* A more integrated EU market: A well-integrated single market and better regulatory and market conditions conducive to innovation, business and industrial dynamics (markets to finance innovative investment, reduction of "red tape") allow for full exploitation of opportunities for business and for scientific and technological knowledge (see Figures 4 and 5 in the Annex). It would also help reduce corporate R&D investment gap between the northern EU countries and the southern ones. A fragmented and rigid European market hinders the timely scale-up of innovation and tends to lock in resources in uncompetitive and zombie firms (European Commission, 2018a). The cost of no or little integration, which undermines the full exploitation of the potential of the EU internal market, is very high.  

* Specific territorial needs to guarantee competitiveness and job creation across the whole EU. On one hand, different patterns of structural change can be associated to specific technological dimensions. On the other, the choice of policy instruments may lead to differentiated impacts across economies, depending on their sector mix. Changing an industrial model in the short term is not easy. The objective in the medium and long term is to increase the competitiveness of the EU economy that will need to be based on frontier scientific and technological knowledge (see Box 1 in the next page).

Conclusions

The empirical evidence indicates that the EU business sector is still strong in traditional medium-tech sectors, but losing ground in some of them.

Conversely, competing economies are much stronger in newer high-tech sectors and have maintained and even reinforced such strength. In fact, the EU shows an insufficient number of companies and a small number of global players in key sectors such as Biotechnology, Software and IT hardware.

On the other hand, evidence shows that the high-tech innovation-led competitiveness of some countries has benefitted from proactive industrial policies which have supported targeted sectors and markets. Clear examples are those of the USA, South Korea and China.

In this context, a promising undertaking is the recent proposal of "A renewed EU Industrial Policy Strategy" by the European Commission (2017b) to foster industrial competitiveness, innovation and technological leadership, supported by several instruments such as the European Horizon 2020 programme for research and innovation (and its follow-up). Likewise, this is the case of the "Renewed European Agenda for Research and Innovation" which also addresses the EU private investment gap in R&D (European Commission, 2018b).

Finally, company data analyses provide essential information to support policy-making to understand the specificity of industries (e.g. the heterogeneity of business models within sectors) and hence to design more effective industrial innovation policies.

---

3 Are those firms aged ≥10 years with an interest coverage ratio <1 over three consecutive years. The interest coverage ratio is a debt ratio and profitability ratio used to determine how easily a firm can pay interest on its outstanding debt.
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Box 1. Characteristics of the next wave of innovation

Europe has a unique opportunity to take the lead in the next wave of innovation. A wave that is no longer just about digital, but about the combination of digital and physical. In particular, it will:

▲ Be strongly linked to the basis of science and technology and data skills
▲ Require substantial and sustained investment by the public and private sectors in high-risk innovation (technology development, pilot manufacturing, etc.)
▲ Take place in highly regulated sectors (health, energy, financial services, etc.), so they depend on the quality of regulatory frameworks.

Source: European Commission, 2018b
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Annex

Figure 1. 10 years evolution of top R&D investors; EU vs World (2007-2016)

Note: The world figures include those of the EU.
Source: Hernandez et al. (2017) - European Commission, JRC/DG RTD.
Figure 2. Distribution of the EU companies in the 2017 Scoreboard by headquarters country

Note: The number of companies is indicated beside the country code (the map only includes countries with at least 10 companies). R&D is represented with a bubble whose size is proportional to the R&D investment in 2016 in that country.

Source: Hernandez et al. (2017) - European Commission, JRC/DG RTD

Figure 3. R&D investment in 2007 and 2016 by main region and sector group

Note: Figures displayed refer only to the 1476 companies for which data are available for all variables (R&D, Net Sales and Employment) and both years (2007 and 2016). “RoW” in the y axis means “Rest of the World”.

Source: Hernandez et al. (2017) - European Commission, JRC/DG RTD
**Figure 4.** Factors of attractiveness for R&D location of top R&D investing firms (2017)

Source: Potters et al. (2017) - European Commission, JRC/DG RTD

**Figure 5.** Factors of attractiveness for production location of top R&D investing firms (2017)

Source: Potters et al. (2017) - European Commission, JRC/DG RTD